ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Habitat Quality and Water Availability Affect Genetic Connectivity of Platypus Across an Urban Landscape

Tamielle Brunt^{[1,2](#page-0-0)} | Annabel L. Smith^{[2](#page-0-1)}

¹School of Agriculture and Food Sustainability, Gatton College, The University of Queensland, Gatton, Australia $\frac{1}{2}$ School of Environment, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Australia

Correspondence: Tamielle Brunt [\(t.brunt@uq.edu.au\)](mailto:t.brunt@uq.edu.au)

Received: 16 January 2024 | **Revised:** 20 November 2024 | **Accepted:** 10 December 2024

Funding: This work was supported by Endangered Species Trust (The Wildlife Preservation Society of Queensland), the AMP Foundation (Tomorrow Maker 2020) and Australian Geographic.

Keywords: conservation genetics | dispersal | freshwater ecology | landscape resistance | monotreme | remote sensing

ABSTRACT

Freshwater ecosystems are among the most threatened globally. Understanding how environmental variables influence the gene flow of freshwater species can help identify landscape features requiring conservation management. We used landscape genetic resistance modelling to assess the influence of climate, topography and vegetation cover on genetic structure and gene flow in platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) in an urban region of south-east Queensland, Australia. Thirty DNA samples were genotyped using the DArTseq platform, and data were filtered to produce a panel of 5478 neutral single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers. We used fastSTRUCTURE, partial redundancy analysis and maximum likelihood analyses to understand platypus genetic structure and landscape influences on gene flow. Between one and three genetic clusters were detected using fastSTRUCTURE. Partial redundancy analysis identified Topographic Wetness Index and antecedent rainfall as driving genetic differentiation between samples. A maximum likelihood population effects model suggested gene flow was spatially structured by Normalised Difference Vegetation Index at a fine scale (100m) and antecedent rainfall at a landscape scale (1km). Thus, less vegetated areas appear to restrict the gene flow of platypus in urban systems. Rainfall, vegetation cover and topographic wetness are also important for maintaining platypus gene flow across landscapes. Our research recommends conservation management through restoration of water flow and riverine vegetation to help maintain platypus connectivity and increase gene flow among populations.

1 | Introduction

Freshwater ecosystems are increasingly threatened by habitat degradation, over-exploitation, water pollution, flow modification and invasive species (Dudgeon et al. [2006](#page-11-0); Reid et al. [2019,](#page-13-0) [2020](#page-13-1); Cresswell, Janke, and Johnston [2021\)](#page-11-1). Global wetlands are declining at a rate three times faster than for-ests (Tickner et al. [2020](#page-14-0)) and freshwater megafauna populations declined by 88% from 1970 to 2012 (He et al. [2019\)](#page-12-0). Both terrestrial and aquatic species contend with natural barriers in the landscape that limit gene flow (Bowler

and Benton [2005](#page-10-0); Maclagan et al. [2020;](#page-12-1) Fusco, Pehek, and Munshi-South [2021\)](#page-11-2). However, dispersal patterns of aquatic species differ fundamentally from terrestrial species because they are restricted to the linear connectivity of waterways (Furlan et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Davis et al. [2018](#page-11-4); Martinez, Willoughby, and Christie [2018;](#page-13-2) Tickner et al. [2020;](#page-14-0) Washburn, Cashner, and Blanton [2020;](#page-14-1) Hannah [2022\)](#page-12-2). This connectivity can be natural, but many river systems have been highly modified by anthropogenic landscape change which increases fragmentation and limits dispersal for aquatic species (Brauer and Beheregaray [2020](#page-11-5)). Identifying the genetic impacts of both

^{© 2025} The Zoological Society of London.

natural and human-made features across waterways is necessary to ensure key landscape features are managed in a way that maintains species' potential to adapt to environmental change (Allendorf et al. [2013;](#page-10-1) Nonić and Šijačić-Nikolić [2019\)](#page-13-3).

Stream networks are naturally influenced by topographic features such as elevation and slope (Keller, Van Strien, and Holderegger [2012;](#page-12-3) Hromada et al. [2020](#page-12-4)) which influence gene flow and dispersal in freshwater species (Fusco, Pehek, and Munshi-South [2021;](#page-11-2) Sunny et al. [2022](#page-14-2)). For example, aspect and slope influenced patch suitability for the Yosemite toad (*Anaxyrus canorus*) because heat load and radiation created migration corridors that followed gentle slopes (Maier et al. [2022\)](#page-12-5). Similarly, the Neotropical otter (*Lontra longicaudis*) in Veracruz, Mexico, was genetically structured by two basins naturally separated by slope and stream order (Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020\)](#page-12-6). On the other hand, rapid modification of waterways and removal of riparian vegetation by human activity can intensify these natural dispersal barriers (Baguette et al. [2013;](#page-10-2) Dudgeon [2019;](#page-11-6) Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020](#page-12-6)). For example, connectivity can be hindered within waterways by the construction of weirs and dams (Dudgeon [2014;](#page-11-7) Hawke, Bino, and Kingsford [2021](#page-12-7); Hawke et al. [2021;](#page-12-8) Mijangos et al. [2022](#page-13-4)) and between waterways by the development of buildings and roads that create impervious struc-tures (Martin et al. [2014](#page-12-9); Serena and Pettigrove [2005;](#page-13-5) Kimmig et al. [2020](#page-12-10); Mijangos et al. [2022](#page-13-4)). Such impacts were seen in the Macquarie perch (*Macquaria australasica*) where barriers degraded preferred habitat and reduced dispersal, contributing to genetic differentiation among populations (Faulks, Gilligan, and Beheregaray [2011\)](#page-11-8). Such changes in vegetation cover and structure that result from urbanisation can be detected using remotely sensed environmental data and vegetation indices (McGranahan and Satterthwaite [2014;](#page-13-6) Martinez and Labib [2023\)](#page-13-7) and could assist conservation managers to understand key landscape features that impact gene flow across landscapes.

The semi-aquatic Australian monotreme, platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*), has both terrestrial and aquatic modes of dispersal, with a high dependence on freshwater waterways for survival (Serena et al. [2001](#page-13-8); Grant and Fanning [2007;](#page-12-11) Carrick, Grant, and Temple-Smith [2008;](#page-11-9) Coleman et al. [2022\)](#page-11-10). They have linear home ranges of less than 15km (Serena [1994;](#page-13-9) Serena and Williams [2013](#page-13-10)) and rely on connected waterways to disperse. Although platypus can travel overland between adjacent river basins (Burrell [1974;](#page-11-11) Akiyama [1998;](#page-10-3) Kolomyjec et al. [2009;](#page-12-12) Furlan et al. [2013](#page-11-3)), terrestrial movement has decreased on mainland Australia because of habitat fragmentation caused by natural and artificial barriers, such as dams and weirs (Kolomyjec et al. [2009](#page-12-12); Furlan et al. [2013](#page-11-3); Hawke et al. [2021;](#page-12-8) Mijangos et al. [2022](#page-13-4); Musser, Grant, and Turak [2024\)](#page-13-11). Terrestrial dispersal increases predation risk, energy expenditure and their risk of heat stress (Robinson [1954;](#page-13-12) Grant and Dawson [1978](#page-12-13); Fish et al. [2001;](#page-11-12) Grant and Fanning [2007](#page-12-11)). Despite documented declines in its population size (45%–58%) and distribution (22.6%) (Hawke, Bino, and Kingsford [2020\)](#page-12-14), the platypus is currently not listed as nationally threatened because of insufficient information regarding rates of decline (Grant and Temple-Smith [1998;](#page-12-15) Serena et al. [1998;](#page-13-13) Grant and Fanning [2007;](#page-12-11) Serena and Williams [2013](#page-13-10); Department of Climate Change [2022\)](#page-11-13). Urbanisation around freshwater ecosystems reduces water and habitat quality and availability for platypus (Martin et al. [2014;](#page-12-9) Brunt et al. [2021\)](#page-11-14) and is likely to restrict dispersal, migration and gene flow. Some evidence exists for this in parts of their range across New South Wales and Victoria (Furlan et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Hawke et al. [2021](#page-12-8)), but how geographically consistent these patterns are is unknown. Furthermore, no studies have incorporated multiple landscape-scale variables into spatially explicit genetic analyses for this iconic species. Increasing evidence about their landscape genetic population structure will strengthen calls to assign them an appropriate conservation status (Hawke et al. [2021](#page-12-8)). Maintenance of quality freshwater habitat will also improve connectivity and dispersal for other semi-aquatic species that co-occur with platypus.

In this study, we aimed to quantify platypus genetic structure using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to make interferences about connectivity and dispersal across a subtropical urban to semi-urban region incorporating diverse land uses. Specifically, we used population and landscape resistance modelling to quantify how genetic distance among individuals was influenced by landscape features including topography, climate and degree of urbanisation which we characterised by the Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). Habitat modification for agriculture and dam structures have limited dispersal in other parts of the platypus's range (Kolomyjec et al. [2009;](#page-12-12) Furlan et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Hawke et al. [2021\)](#page-12-8), and previous work showed topographic wetness strongly influenced platypus distribution (Brunt [2023\)](#page-11-15). Thus, we anticipated these variables would also influence gene flow in this landscape. Our work differs from previous studies on this species (Kolomyjec et al. [2009;](#page-12-12) Furlan et al. [2013;](#page-11-3) Hawke et al. [2021\)](#page-12-8) by specifically incorporating remotely sensed data into models of landscape resistance to understand landscape-scale connectivity. Quantifying connectivity among platypus populations is important because it can inform conservation management actions that will maintain freshwater habitats and thus gene flow.

2 | Materials and Methods

2.1 | Study Region and Site Selection

The study took place in south-east Queensland, Australia, across seven hydrological basins (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). South-east Queensland has a sub-tropical climate that is influenced by tropical systems from the north, and fluctuations in the high-pressure ridge to the south (Queensland Government [2020\)](#page-13-14). Average annual rainfall is 1030mm but is highly variable, with variability driven by local factors, such as topography and vegetation, and broader-scale weather patterns, such as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (Queensland Government 2020). Most rainfall occurs in summer and autumn, with 388mm and 295mm on average per year, respectively. Seasonal average temperatures are 24°C in summer, 20°C in autumn/spring and 14°C in winter (Queensland Government [2020\)](#page-13-14). Temperatures and the frequency of hotter days are predicted to increase for the region, while precipitation is predicted to decrease (Queensland Government [2020](#page-13-14)). Land use primarily comprises agricultural production from relatively natural environments (55.73%), conservation and natural environments (17.93%) and intensive uses (11.72%) (Figure [S1](#page-14-3)) (DSITIA [2014\)](#page-11-16).

The seven hydrological basins in this study and their main rivers are characterised by seasonal flow that reflects the region's

FIGURE 1 | Sampling locations and genetic structure of platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) across seven hydrological basins in south-east Queensland, Australia. The number of genetic clusters in the data (*K*) was estimated to be between *K*=1 and *K*=4. (a) Platypus DNA was sampled from 30 individuals at 21 sites shown on the map with colours representing *K*=4. (b) Assignment probability to each *K* for the 30 individuals. Inset shows the platypus distribution across Australia in grey and the study location in black. * indicates the museum samples.

annual rainfall pattern, where most rainfall occurs between November and March. The main rivers, Albert, Brisbane, Logan, Coomera and Mary rivers, have perennial flow, whereas the Bremer River may occasionally cease to flow (Australian Bureau of Meteorology [2023\)](#page-10-4). The tributaries of these main river systems are characterised as first-, second- or third-order streams which can be continuously flowing or ephemeral in nature.

In total, 30 platypus DNA samples were collected across the region: 19 from live-captured platypus, seven from museum specimens and four from dead specimens. Live trapping sites were chosen from observational and environmental DNA studies (Brunt et al. [2021\)](#page-11-14) to reduce uncertainty regarding species occurrence and to maximise trapping success. Waterway suitability (width and depth) and accessibility were also used to select appropriate sites because our fyke net method (described below) required a water depth of 20 cm to <1m in narrow creeks (Grant [2012](#page-12-16); Serena, Griffiths, and Williams [2015\)](#page-13-15). Sampling sites spanned 32,000km2 and were separated by an average of 72km (range=0.018–291km).

Live-captured samples were collected between 2019 and 2021. Trapping was conducted throughout the year, across 55 individual sites each with two fyke net traps. Most sites were sampled more than once, giving a total of 254 trap nights between July 2019 and March 2021. Three to six sites were surveyed on a given trap night. Platypus, as a species are increasingly active in the breeding season (July to October) and when juveniles are emerging from the nest and dispersing (February and March). Most captures (95%) occurred between June and October in 2019 and 2020. Drought in late 2019 and flooding in February 2020 limited survey time because of either too little flow, unsuitable water, or too much water making hard and fast flows dangerous for personnel and animals.

Platypuses were captured using nylon fyke nets (Serena, Griffiths, and Williams [2015](#page-13-15))—windsock-shaped nets with hooped sections and wings spanning the width of the stream channel (Grant [2012](#page-12-16)). The distal end of the net was tied to a stake on the stream bank to keep captured animals afloat (Serena [1994](#page-13-9)) and the net was anchored to the bank with stakes to block off a section of stream. Two fyke nets were deployed per survey site, one facing upstream and one facing downstream, in an approximately 10-m section of the waterway. Nets were set up in the afternoon, checked before dusk and then checked throughout the night every two to four hours, depending on capture rates and weather. Following capture, each platypus was placed into a calico bag and lightly restrained on a foam pad for processing. A LifeChip microchip (11×13mm) was inserted under the skin between the shoulders to identify recaptures. A small section of hind foot webbing was collected for DNA and immediately preserved in 70% ethanol. Following processing, animals were released at the bank and allowed to swim away.

To increase sample size, seven platypuses were collected from museum specimens, and four from dead specimens (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). Museum samples consisted of muscle or skin tissue stored in ethanol sourced from the Queensland Museum (five samples collected between 2000 and 2014) and the Australian Museum (two samples collected in 1991 and 1993). Dead specimens were

collected by members of the public and samples and location data were reported to the authors between 2016 and 2021. A muscle or skin tissue sample was taken from each dead specimen and stored in ethanol.

Both male and female platypuses reach maturity around two years of age (Temple-Smith [1973](#page-14-4); Grant, Griffiths, and Temple-Smith [2004](#page-12-17)) with a lifespan in the wild between 6 and 15years (Grant, Griffiths, and Temple-Smith [2004;](#page-12-17) Pacifici et al. [2013;](#page-13-16) Serena et al. [2014;](#page-13-17) Bino, Grant, and Kingsford [2015](#page-10-5)) although there are records of wild platypus over 20 years old (Grant [2004;](#page-12-18) Serena et al. [2024\)](#page-13-18). Our sampling covered multiple generations by including adults and juveniles, but the majority (89%) of live captured platypuses were either adult or sub-adult. Thus, we considered the inclusion of emerging juveniles (11%) to have negligible influence on our estimates of gene flow and retained all age-classes in the data.

2.2 | Genetic Analyses

Total genomic DNA was extracted using a NucleoMag 96 Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel) at Diversity Arrays Technology P/L (Canberra, Australia). A double-restriction enzyme complexity reduction and high-throughput sequencing method (DArTseq) was used for genotyping (Kilian et al. [2012\)](#page-12-19). The enzymes PstI and SphI were chosen following tests of different enzyme combinations for platypus. DNA samples were processed in digestion/ ligation reactions following Kilian et al. [\(2012\)](#page-12-19) but substituting the single PstI adaptor for two adaptors corresponding to restriction enzyme-specific overhangs. The PstI adaptor was modified to include Illumina sequencing primers and variable-length barcodes (Elshire et al. [2011\)](#page-11-17). Mixed fragments (PstI–SphI) were amplified in 30 rounds of PCR using the following reaction conditions: 94°C for 1min and then 30cycles of 94°C for 20s, 58°C for 30s and 72°C for 45s followed by 72°C for 7min. After PCR, equimolar amounts of amplification products from each sample were bulked and applied to c-Bot (Illumina) bridge PCR followed by single-read sequencing on an Illumina Hiseq2500 for 77cycles. Raw sequences were processed using DArTseq analytical pipelines (DArTdb) to split samples by barcode and remove poorquality sequences. Sequences (69-bp) were aligned to the repre-sentative platypus genome (Zhou et al. [2021,](#page-14-5) GenBank accession PRJNA489114) with a BLAST E-value of 5×10[−]7 and a minimum sequence identity of 90% using proprietary software (DArTsoft), a package developed in-house and used to both identify and score the markers that were polymorphic within such an experiment (C. Cayla, G. Uszynski, D.J., P.W., and A. Kilian, unpublished data).

2.3 | SNP Filtering

Starting with 9800 SNPs that passed DArTseq quality control, we filtered the data (Figure [S2](#page-14-3)) using custom scripts developed by Smith et al. [\(2020](#page-14-6)) and modified for the current study (Brunt and Smith [2024\)](#page-11-18). Filter 1 removed SNPs on the same sequence, monomorphic loci and SNPs with > 50% missing data. SNPs on the same 69-bp sequence as another were removed to reduce the chance of disequilibrium from physical linkage, keeping the one with the highest call rate (Reynes et al. [2021\)](#page-13-19). Filter 2 removed SNPs with low minimum minor

allele frequency $\left(\langle 2\% \right)$, low reproducibility $\left(\langle 98\% \right)$ and linkage disequilibrium. We used the correlation between genotype frequencies (Chan [2018](#page-11-19)) to test for linkage disequilibrium between each pair of loci and removed a locus if it was in a correlated pair $(r > 0.75)$. The data comprised 5483 SNPs after applying these filters (Figure [S2\)](#page-14-3).

2.4 | Detecting Loci Under Selection

Neutrality was an assumption underlying the population structure models that we used, and our aim was to investigate gene flow arising from neutral dispersal processes. Therefore, we investigated whether SNPs were putatively under selection using two individual-level methods: PCAdapt (Luu, Bazin, and Blum [2016](#page-12-20)) and LFMM (Frichot et al. [2013;](#page-11-20) Caye et al. [2019\)](#page-11-21). Both methods define background population structure as *K* principal components derived from individual genotypes (Frichot et al. [2013](#page-11-20); Duforet-Frebourg, Bazin, and Blum [2014\)](#page-11-22). In PCAdapt, each SNP is regressed against each principal component. LFMM uses the principal components as latent factors in a Gaussian mixed model, where the genotype matrix is modelled as a function of an environmental matrix (Frichot et al. [2013](#page-11-20)). Compared with tests designed for site-level sampling, PCAdapt and LFMM are more reliable for species with complex, hierarchical population structure (e.g., multiple divergence events) and are less sensitive to admixed individuals and outliers in the data (de Villemereuil et al. [2014;](#page-11-23) Luu, Bazin, and Blum [2016](#page-12-20)). We considered outliers identified in both methods to be putatively under selection and removed them from the downstream analysis (Figure [S2\)](#page-14-3).

For both PCAdapt and LFMM, we examined scree plots to determine the number of clusters in the data (K) (Figure [S3](#page-14-3)). For PCAdapt, the most likely *K* was unclear (Figure [S3a,](#page-14-3) following Cattell's rule, Cattell [1966](#page-11-24); Luu, Bazin, and Blum [2016\)](#page-12-20). We therefore tested the sensitivity of choosing *K* between 1 and 8 and found the choice of *K* had little influence in the downstream analysis (data not shown). Ultimately, we chose the conservative *K*=8 against which to assess outliers, resulting in 1036 outliers. For LFMM, we explored *K*=1 to 5 and chose *K*=2 based on the scree plot (Figure [S3b\)](#page-14-3).

We defined the LFMM environmental matrix using six environmental variables important for platypus distribution (Table [1\)](#page-5-0): Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Topographic Wetness Index (TWI), antecedent rainfall, average temperature of the hottest and coldest months and elevation. To control for the false discovery rate, we calculated *q* values from *p* values and classed SNPs as outliers, where $q < 0.05$. When combined with quality control filters, our non-neutral (putatively adaptive) data set comprised 1061 SNPs. Only five outlier loci were common between the two methods, and these were removed from the data set. Our final neutral dataset comprised 5478 SNPs for downstream analysis (Figure [S2\)](#page-14-3).

2.5 | Population Genetic Structure

We used fastSTRUCTURE to assess genetic relationships among individuals (Raj, Stephens, and Pritchard [2014\)](#page-13-20). This model

determines the number of genetic clusters in the data (*K*) that would maximise Hardy–Weinberg and linkage equilibrium. We investigated $K=1$ to $K=20$ and assigned each individual to a cluster based on the model complexity that maximised marginal likelihood and the model components used to explain structure in data (Raj, Stephens, and Pritchard [2014\)](#page-13-20). If dispersal and gene flow were restricted to hydrological basins, we expected to see genetic differences across catchments. Previous versions of STRUCTURE had methods for determining the most likely *K* (Pritchard, Stephens, and Donnelly [2000;](#page-13-21) Evanno, Regnaut, and Goudet [2005](#page-11-25)), while fastSTRUCTURE provides a range in *K* (Raj, Stephens, and Pritchard [2014;](#page-13-20) Enloe et al. [2022](#page-11-26)). We therefore visualised and assessed population structure between both $K=1$ and $K=4$ to determine if structure corresponded to these individual basins (Figure [1b](#page-2-0)). We also included a point on the sample map related to $K=4$ as the clusters corresponded broadly to three main hydrological basins, namely, Mary, Brisbane and South Coast (Figure [1a\)](#page-2-0).

2.6 | Defining Environmental Variables

The environment at each sampling site was characterised using biologically significant variables with the potential to influence platypus movement (Table [1\)](#page-5-0). Rainfall and topographic wetness index (TWI) relate to the reliance of platypus on water (Grant and Fanning [2007;](#page-12-11) Serena et al. [2014](#page-13-17)). TWI was calculated as log(e) (specific catchment area/slope) as an estimate of the relative wetness at each site (Gallant and Austin [2012](#page-12-21)). Temperature relates to platypus thermoregulation (Robinson [1954;](#page-13-12) Grant and Dawson [1978](#page-12-13); Bethge et al. [2004;](#page-10-6) Klamt, Thompson, and Davis [2011](#page-12-22); Bino, Kingsford, and Wintle [2020\)](#page-10-7). Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was quantified from satellite imagery and was used to represent habitat quality. This metric effectively distinguishes between vegetation, impervious surfaces (i.e., that arise with urbanisation) and highly modified vegetation such as agricultural crops (Martinez and Labib [2023;](#page-13-7) Pettorelli [2013](#page-13-22)), making it appropriate for our study questions.

Spatial data were clipped to the study region boundary (−25°25′59″–28°12′29.98″ S and 152°5′59.96″–153°30′00″ E) and resampled to a spatial resolution of 100m and 1km using QGIS version 3.22 (Table [1](#page-5-0)). This dual-scale approach aimed to sample environmental data at a resolution fine enough to relate to platypus movement and dispersal, while also working within the constraints of data availability. Average temperatures and antecedent precipitation could only be obtained at 1km resolution from WolrdClim (Fick and Hijmans [2017](#page-11-27)). Topographic variables (TWI, NDVI and elevation) were downloaded at the highest raw resolutions available (Table [1](#page-5-0)) and resampled to 100m to standardise among variables while capturing fine-scale landscape variation (hereafter 'fine-scale' resolution). All other data were sampled at the 1km scale (hereafter 'coarse' resolution) because this was the finest scale available (Table [1](#page-5-0)).

To quantify NDVI, high-resolution (10m) images from Sentinel 2 (European Space Agency [2021\)](#page-11-28) were downloaded between November 2021 and February 2022, a period known to be greener after rain. NDVI was calculated in QGIS using the raster calculator as $(NIR-R) / (NIR+R)$, where NIR is the near-infrared band and R is the red band. We used NDVI as a proxy for

urbanisation and habitat quality because higher values relate to vegetation cover (>0.4) and reflect pervious, connected habitat (Figure [S1](#page-14-3)). Low NDVI values represent low vegetation density, such as open areas (0.2–0.4) and land cover changes associated with urbanisation (Zaitunah, Samsuri, and Sahara, [2021;](#page-14-8) Martinez and Labib [2023\)](#page-13-7) and impervious surfaces. We expected that impervious structures surrounding waterways and open, sparsely vegetated areas would pose a risk for platypus because impervious structures are a physical barrier and open areas lacking vegetation have been associated with increased predation risk (Stillfried et al. [2017;](#page-14-9) Potash, Conner, and McCleery [2019\)](#page-13-24). NDVI ranges from −1 to 1, where negative values correspond to water. However, given that water facilitates rather than inhibits movement of the platypus (Grant and Fanning [2007](#page-12-11)), we reclassified waterways with an NDVI value of 1.

Temperature and precipitation data were obtained from WorldClim (1970 to 2000, Fick and Hijmans [2017](#page-11-27)) and analysed at a 30 arcsecond resolution. We used the average of the five months total rainfall prior to breeding (hereafter 'antecedent rainfall') because this was previously found to influence platypus occurrence (Serena et al. [2014\)](#page-13-17). We also used the average temperatures of the coldest month (July) and the hottest month (January) because they relate to thermoregulation (Table [1](#page-5-0)). To create local habitat site measures, we used a 1km radius around each individual sample and calculated the mean of each landscape variable within the buffer (Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020\)](#page-12-6).

2.7 | Landscape Resistance Modelling Overview

We explored the influence of environmental features on gene flow using two landscape resistance methods: (1) spatial principal component analysis (sPCA) combined with a partial redundancy analysis (RDA) and (2) landscape resistance (or isolation by resistance) using maximum likelihood population effects models (MLPE). Both methods were conducted at the individual level, using the genotype of each platypus as an independent observation (as opposed to site- or population-level sampling). Each of these methods is spatially explicit and incorporates landscape features directly into the analysis. The key difference between them is that the sPCA/RDA analysis is based on the landscape immediately surrounding each sample, while the landscape resistance/MLPE analysis was based on a continuous landscape surface, incorporating environmental variation across the entire study region.

2.8 | Partial Redundancy Analysis

We explored relationships between genetic variation and geographic distances between study sites using sPCA (Jombart [2008;](#page-12-26) Jombart et al. [2008\)](#page-12-27) in *adegenet* (Jombart [2008\)](#page-12-26) in R 1.2.1 (R Core Team [2022\)](#page-13-25). This approach detects spatial genetic patterns based on genetic variance among sampling locations and its correlation to distances between locations (Jombart et al. [2008\)](#page-12-27). Moran's I is used to measure spatial autocorrelation and the analysis retains positive eigenvalues that correspond to global structures (cline, patch, or intermediates) and negative eigenvalues indicate local patterns (strong differentiation between neighbours) (Jombart [2008](#page-12-26); Jombart et al. [2008](#page-12-27)).

We then used partial redundancy analysis (pRDA) to identify landscape features associated with genetic structure of platypus at local sites, while controlling for spatial location. Partial RDA is a constrained ordination technique that models multivariate response data (in our case multilocus genotypes) to separate the relative contribution of landscape and spatial components on genetic structure (Legendre and Legendre [1998\)](#page-12-28). We analysed the spatially lagged scores from genotypic data and used the first two principal components retained by the sPCA as response variables (Kierepka and Latch [2016](#page-12-29); Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020](#page-12-6)). We also conducted a permutation procedure with 999 randomisations to test for significant global ('global.rtest') patterns in the data. Our six landscape features (Table [1\)](#page-5-0) were used in the model as predictor variables.

Spatial autocorrelation can violate assumptions of independence among data points, inflating Type I errors (Kierepka and Latch [2016\)](#page-12-29). This can be tested for in pRDA using Moran's *I*, which identifies spatial autocorrelation in the data. Geographic coordinates for each sampling location were used as a conditional variable to control for geographic distance (and therefore, spatial autocorrelation).

The pRDA analysis was conducted in the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al. [2022](#page-13-26)) following Kierepka and Latch [\(2016\)](#page-12-29). We first determined the variance inflation factor (VIF) of each explanatory variable and excluded variables with a VIF>10. We ran a null model (with no environmental variation) using the *ordistep* function (Oksanen et al. [2022\)](#page-13-26) and conducted forward stepwise model selection to find the predictor variables that best explained genetic differentiation among individuals (Oksanen et al. [2022\)](#page-13-26). The *anova.cca* function was used to test the significance of the models. The final model was selected as the model with the lowest Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) (Nakagawa and Schielzeth [2013](#page-13-27)) and that which improved the fit over the null model by Δ AIC > |2|.

2.9 | Isolation by Resistance

For analysis of isolation by landscape resistance, we calculated a genetic distance matrix as the response variable, derived from the Euclidean distance between all pairs of individual platypuses (435 distance observations from 30 samples), using the *dist* function in base R. This is widely used as an individual based genetic distance metric in landscape genetics (Beninde, Wittische, and Frantz [2024\)](#page-10-8) and regularly correlates highly (over 90%) with other measures of genetic distance (Shirk, Landguth, and Cushman [2017\)](#page-13-28). To generate continuous environmental resistance surfaces as predictor variables, we used CIRCUITSCAPE to calculate pairwise resistances between all 435 pairs of samples (McRae and Beier [2007](#page-13-29); Shirk, Landguth, and Cushman [2018](#page-13-30)).

We conducted an analysis of isolation by resistance to determine how landscape resistance surfaces affected genetic differ-entiation (McRae and Beier [2007\)](#page-13-29) using maximum likelihood population effects model (MLPE) in the ResistanceGA package (*MLPE.lmm* function; Clarke, Rothery, and Raybould [2002\)](#page-11-32). This mixed-effects model includes a random effect term to account for the potential correlation among genetic distances for all *n*–1 pairwise distances involving each individual platypus (Clarke, Rothery, and Raybould [2002](#page-11-32); Shirk, Landguth, and Cushman [2018;](#page-13-30) Kozakiewicz et al. [2019\)](#page-12-30). We fitted six separate models, with genetic distance modelled as a function of each landscape distance matrix separately. We also included a null model with no predictor variation as a baseline against which to compare the parametrized models.

Models fit with restricted maximum likelihood (REML) cannot be compared with AIC when the fixed effects differ among models (Clarke, Rothery, and Raybould [2002\)](#page-11-32). Thus, we used the Maximum Likelihood estimator (REML=FALSE in MLPE.lmm()) to enable model comparison with AIC (Shirk, Landguth, and Cushman [2018](#page-13-30); Thatte et al. [2020\)](#page-14-10). The top model was selected using AIC as described for the pRDA. As an estimate of model fit with and without random effects, we calculated conditional $(R^2_{(c)})$ and marginal $(R^2_{(m)})$ R^2 values, respectively (Nakagawa and Schielzeth [2013](#page-13-27)), using MuMln (Barton [2022](#page-10-9)) in R.

3 | Results

3.1 | Loci Under Selection

We detected 1061 outlier SNPs, 1036 with PCAdapt and 25 with LFMM (Figure [S4](#page-14-3)). The three Principal Components (PC) used in LFMM represented correlations among elevation, July average temperature and January average temperature (PC1), NDVI and antecedent rainfall (PC2) and TWI (PC3). In examining environmental associations with outlier loci, LFMM detected one SNP related to PC1, 22 SNPs related to PC2 and three related to PC3 (Figure [S4\)](#page-14-3). Five loci common to both methods were removed from the final dataset.

3.2 | Genetic Structure

The number of genetic clusters (*K*) among neutral platypus genotypes identified by fastSTRUCTURE was between *K*=1 (model complexity maximising marginal likelihood) and *K*=4 (model components used to explain structure in the data) (Figure [1b\)](#page-2-0). At the south of the Pine basin, three samples clustered with samples from the Brisbane basin, possibly because of the proximity of waterways, with adjacent waterways separated by approximately 1km.

3.3 | sPCA and RDA Analysis

The sPCA analysis identified global (observed: 0.065 , $p = 0.001$) but not local (observed: 0.05, *p*=0.66) genetic structure in the overall platypus sample (Figure [S5](#page-14-3)). The first two sPCA axes explained the most variance and had the highest eigenvalues (eigenvalues (variance)=63.27 (255.02) and 31.01 (152.76) for Axis 1 and 2, respectively) (Figure [S6a,b\)](#page-14-3). Both axes exhibited high levels of spatial autocorrelation (Moran's *I*=0.99 and 0.81 for Axis 1 and 2, respectively) indicating isolation by distance.

The first sPCA axis revealed genetic differentiation between the north and south of our study region, indicating that individuals from the Mary hydrological basin are genetically different from those in the Brisbane basin (Figure [2a](#page-8-0)). The second sPCA axis suggested that platypus, as a species in the Pine and South Coast basins were genetically differentiated, while individuals from the north Mary and Brisbane basins were genetically similar (Figure [2b\)](#page-8-0). Taken together, these analyses suggest that different parts of the platypus genome have a variable influence on spatial genetic structure. In the pRDA analysis, TWI (*F*=6.38, p <0.025) and antecedent rainfall (F =8.27, p <0.015) were retained after model selection, indicating that these environmental features influence genetic structure (Table [2,](#page-8-1) Figure [S7\)](#page-14-3).

3.4 | Landscape Effects of Genetic Variation

The MLPE analysis (where the response variable represented genetic distance in all models) revealed that NDVI explained gene flow between individual platypus samples at a fine scale (100m) (Table [3a](#page-9-0)) while antecedent rainfall explained gene flow at a coarse scale (1 km) (Table [3b](#page-9-0)). In all cases, $R^2_{(c)}$ values were substantially higher than $R^2_{(m)}$ values (Table [3](#page-9-0)), indicating the random effects improved model fit.

4 | Discussion

Using a range of spatially explicit models for different spatial scales and sampling configurations, our analyses provided insights into the environmental factors influencing platypus gene flow across an urbanised landscape. We found a signal of genetic differentiation between north and south platypus samples that tended to correspond with the Mary and Brisbane hydrological basins. Genetic structure increased with increasing geographic distance (isolation by distance) and was also influenced by TWI, antecedent rainfall, temperature and vegetation cover (characterised by NDVI). Our analyses suggest that vegetation cover was more important driving spatial genetic structure at finer habitat scales, while rainfall and TWI operated at larger scales. This suggests that topographic, climatic and vegetation variables have a distinct influence on platypus gene flow in south-east Queensland, Australia. Our study indicates that increasing environmental water flow to maximise topographic water availability could help to maintain platypus genetic connectivity across the region.

The number of genetic clusters identified in our data ranged between *K*=1 and 4. There was some evidence that when *K* was more than one genetic structure, it corresponded to four hydrological basins across south-east Queensland, indicating that platypus, as a species are genetically structured by regional topography and river systems. There was no evidence that older samples (i.e., those obtained from museum specimens) were genetically differentiated from contemporary samples, so we considered genetic structure in our data to be spatial rather than temporal (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). Isolation by distance identified by the sPCA analysis confirmed genetic differences based on geographic positions between the north and south samples, suggesting restricted gene flow between catchments. Other studies of platypus have found that river basins tend to form discrete population units (Akiyama [1998;](#page-10-3) Kolomyjec et al. [2009](#page-12-12); Gongora

FIGURE 2 | Spatially lagged scores from the first two sPCA axes: (a) axis 1 and (b) axis 2, depicting patterns of genetic structure among north and south platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) samples from south-east Queensland, Australia. Squares represent the spatial location of individual genotypes. The shading of the squares represents a gradient from positive sPCA values in white (genetic similarity) to negative sPCA values in black (genetic differentiation). The size of the squares corresponds to the magnitude of the score. Partial RDA suggested these axes of genetic structure were best explained by Topographic Wetness Index and antecedent rainfall.

pRDA model	Parameters	\pmb{p}	AIC	Δ AIC	Variance	VIF
Final model	$TWI + rainfall$	0.003	129.94	0.00	35.93	
FULL biological + conditional		0.011	130.60	0.66	36.81	
	NDVI					1.34
	TWI					1.23
	Rainfall					3.41
	Latitude					1.49
	Longitude					2.61
Null			140.73	10.79		

TABLE 2 | Results of partial RDA analysis indicating environmental variables associated with platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) genetic structure across south-east Queensland, Australia.

Note: In the final model, genetic structure was explained by Topographic Wetness Index and antecedent rainfall. The null and full models are shown for comparison, with Δ AIC relative to the final model. The null model included no variation on the predictor variable as a baseline for comparison. The models better than the null are indicated in bold.

Abbreviations: AIC=Akaike Information Criterion, NDVI=Normalised Difference Vegetation Index, TWI=Topographic Wetness Index, rainfall=5-month total rainfall average, VIF=Variance Inflation Factor.

et al. [2012](#page-12-31)). Between adjacent river systems further south in their range (greater Sydney region, New South Wales), isolation by distance was also detected, indicating that major catchment systems influence gene flow at a regional scale (Kolomyjec et al. [2009](#page-12-12)). Other semi-aquatic species, such as the Neotropical otter (*Lontra longicaudis*), similarly were found to have different

Note: Candidate models are ranked by AIC. All models had an intercept of 88.44 and four degrees of freedom. The response variable represents genetic distance for both candidate model sets. The null model had no predictor variation and was included as a baseline against which to compare the parametrized models. The models better than the null are indicated in bold.

Abbreviations: AIC = Akaike Information Criterion. NDVI = Normalised Difference Vegetation Index, $R^2_{\ (c)}$ = Conditional R^2 , $R^2_{\ (m)}$ = Marginal R^2 , TWI = Topographic Wetness Index.

genetic groups represented by river basins (Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020](#page-12-6)).

The landscape resistance analysis at the habitat scale (pRDA) demonstrated that genetic diversity was structured by antecedent rainfall prior to breeding season and Topographic Wetness Index. This reflects the high dependency of platypuses on water for connectivity within catchments (Serena et al. [1998,](#page-13-13) [2001,](#page-13-8) [2014](#page-13-17); Grant and Fanning [2007](#page-12-11); Coleman et al. [2022\)](#page-11-10). Water availability is key to in-stream connectivity from a management perspective, particularly in times when platypus activity increases during the breeding season (July–October) and juvenile dispersal (February–March).

Isolation by resistance between sites using MLPE was explained by antecedent rainfall, and this model also demonstrated the influence of NDVI on gene flow in the platypus. Suitable habitat for platypus connectivity is evidently represented by both vegetation and water variables. These variables are similarly important for movement in many freshwater species which rely on vegetation cover for shelter and protection during dispersal and home range movements (Catterall, Lynch, and Jansen [2007;](#page-11-33) Baguette et al. [2013](#page-10-2)). For the platypus, vegetated riparian zones are important for the integrity of their habitat because stable banks allow burrowing, while vegetation cover conceals burrow entrances and provides in-stream shelter and habitat for their invertebrate food sources (Ellem, Bryant, and O'Connor [1998;](#page-11-29) Grant and Temple-Smith [1998;](#page-12-15) Serena et al. [1998](#page-13-13)). Urbanisation reduces the density of riparian vegetation cover and diminishes the protection it creates from noise and light pollution (Perkin et al. [2011](#page-13-31); Newport, Shorthouse, and Manning [2014\)](#page-13-32). A vegetation barrier can also conceal individuals from common predators known to consume platypus, such as foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*), cats (*Felis catus*) and dogs (*Canis lupus*) (Serena et al. [1998;](#page-13-13) Musser, Grant, and Turak [2024](#page-13-11)). Research from Victoria has found that platypus preferred stream reaches with higher forest cover (Coleman et al. [2022](#page-11-10)). Therefore, a low NDVI indicating impervious areas or less dense and lower-quality habitats like open grasslands may reduce the opportunity for platypus to disperse in-stream and overland to nearby waterways. Overall, improving riparian vegetation may help increase movement because they are concealed as they swim, forage and disperse through the waterways, especially in an urban environment where threats intensify.

Managing freshwater ecosystems requires an understanding of landscape features that will influence genetic connectivity, and our study suggests that water and vegetation management are critical for the platypus. In other studies, habitat and connectivity restoration has been recommended for species such as the yellow-bellied toad (*Bombina variegata*) (Pröhl et al. [2021\)](#page-13-33) and the Neotropical otter (*Lontra longicaudis*) (Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020\)](#page-12-6). Restoration activities include modified drainage or vegetation tunnels or overpasses to avoid roads and urban areas (Pröhl et al. [2021](#page-13-33); Musser, Grant, and Turak [2024](#page-13-11)) and establishing biological corridors for stream and terrestrial dispersal (Latorre-Cardenas et al. [2020\)](#page-12-6). Our results similarly suggest that restoration of hydrological connections within freshwater systems and the surrounding native habitat should be a priority for riparian management. In another study, the construction of dams and weirs was found to restrict dispersal and gene flow and increase genetic differentiation in platypus (Mijangos et al. [2022](#page-13-4)). Regional assessments of instream barriers to maintain connectivity among platypus populations should be a priority for management.

While it would be desirable to have a larger number of samples, the platypus is notoriously difficult to catch due to their low abundance at some sites and sampling difficulty during periods of drought and flood. Sensitivity analyses have found that sample sizes of <10 individuals pose a risk of low power and incorrect genetic population assignment, while sample sizes of 30

or more have relatively strong power and low assignment error rates (Wollstein and Lao [2015](#page-14-11); Wang [2022](#page-14-12)). Thus, our results provide evidence that genetic connectivity is limited by the environment despite our limited sample size. With more samples, we might have uncovered a more complex genetic structure and the estimates of genetic connectivity in this paper could be considered conservative. Estimates of population density in other parts of the platypus range (1.3–2.1 platypus per km of stream in Victoria (Serena [1994\)](#page-13-9) and 2.8 per km of stream in NSW (Bino, Grant, and Kingsford [2015\)](#page-10-5)) suggest a higher population density than our sampling was able to cover (with an average of 72 km between samples). Thus, more dense sampling in future is likely to yield more accurate and higher resolution genetic structure.

Overall, our study highlights the need for innovation in water management, such as constructed wetland or waterway modification that will help sustain water in the landscape and reduce the pressures of water availability for all species, particularly in times of drought (Zhang et al. [2020](#page-14-13)). This would benefit other species conservation, such as fish and turtles which co-occur with platypus, because they similarly rely on water and deep connected pools. Threatened species such as Mary River Cod (*Maccullochella mariensis*) and Australian Lungfish (*Neoceratodus forsteri*) prefer areas with dense vegetation cover, overhanging vegetation and connected stream areas with pools (Simpson and Jackson [1996](#page-14-14); Arthington [2009](#page-10-10)). It is also important to understand the management of environmental flows from dams and weirs during seasonal periods such as breeding and juvenile dispersal as increased water availability from dam release will help overall system connectivity (Hawke, Bino, and Kingsford [2021;](#page-12-7) Mijangos et al. [2022](#page-13-4)). The quality and density of riparian zones should also be assessed so that habitat integrity and vegetation cover can be increased. If water, food and shelter are protected at a catchment scale, platypus will not have to disperse into human-modified areas and risk their survival.

In conclusion, our findings emphasise the critical need for restoring hydrological connections within freshwater systems to maintain genetic connectivity among platypus populations. Additionally, evaluating the quality and density of riparian zones is essential to enhance habitat integrity and vegetation cover to help mitigate threats to platypus populations and promote their long-term conservation.

Author Contributions

T.B. and A.L.S. conceived and designed the study. T.B. performed the material preparation, data collection and analysis, with guidance from A.L.S. The manuscript draft was written by T.B. and both authors contributed to subsequent versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We respectfully acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the many lands on which this research was conducted, from Gubbi Gubbi, Waka Waka, Turrbal, Yuggera, Yugambeh to Bunjalung and the many other groups within the area. We deeply respect their connection to country that spans thousands of years. We pay our respects to their elders past and present. We thank the volunteers for help with trapping and acknowledge logistical support from the Wildlife Preservation Society

of Queensland. Thank you to the two anonymous reviewers who gave valuable suggestions which improved the manuscript.

Ethics Statement

This research was conducted in accordance with the University of Queensland's Animal Ethics Unit—Native and exotic wildlife and marine animals (SAFS/139/18). We worked under permits from the Queensland Department of Environment and Science (WA0011257 and PTU19-001728), Queensland Department of Agriculture and Fisheries (202383), local council authorities, Brisbane City Council (PC-3579 and West region), Sunshine Coast Council (REG20/0053), Ipswich City Council (#14167), Moreton Bay Regional Council (A20995133) and SEQ Water (GCK20200831).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the Zenodo public repository [https://doi.org/10.5281/ze](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14188756) [nodo.14188756.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14188756)

References

Akiyama, S. 1998. "Molecular Ecology if the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*)." Doctural Dissertation, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia.

Allendorf, F. W., G. Luikart, S. N. Aitken, and A. Antunes. 2013. *Conservation and the Genetics of Populations*. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.

Arthington, A. H. 2009. "Australian Lungfish, *Neoceratodus forsteri*, Threatened by a New Dam." *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 84, no. 2: 211–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-008-9414-y>.

Australian Bureau of Meteorology. 2023. "South East Queensland: Geographic Information." [http://www.bom.gov.au/water/nwa/2020/](http://www.bom.gov.au/water/nwa/2020/seq/regiondescription/geographicinformation.shtml) [seq/regiondescription/geographicinformation.shtml.](http://www.bom.gov.au/water/nwa/2020/seq/regiondescription/geographicinformation.shtml)

Baguette, M., S. Blanchet, D. Legrand, V. M. Stevens, and C. Turlure. 2013. "Individual Dispersal, Landscape Connectivity and Ecological Networks." *Biological Reviews* 88, no. 2: 310–326. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12000) [1111/brv.12000](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12000).

Barton, K. 2022. "Multi-Model Inference (Version R Package Version 1.46.0)." [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn](https://cran.r-project.org/package=MuMIn).

Beninde, J., J. Wittische, and A. C. Frantz. 2024. "Quantifying Uncertainty in Inferences of Landscape Genetic Resistance due to Choice of Individual-Based Genetic Distance Metric." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 24: e13831. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13831.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13831)

Bethge, P., S. Munks, H. Otley, and S. Nicol. 2004. "Platypus Burrow Temperatures at a Subalpine Tasmanian Lake." *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 125: 273–276.

Bino, G., T. R. Grant, and R. T. Kingsford. 2015. "Life History and Dynamics of a Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) Population: Four Decades of Mark-Recapture Surveys." *Scientific Reports* 5: 16073. [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16073.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16073)

Bino, G., R. T. Kingsford, and B. A. Wintle. 2020. "A Stitch in Time – Synergistic Impacts to Platypus Metapopulation Extinction Risk." *Biological Conservation* 242: 108399. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108399) [2019.108399.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108399)

Bowler, D. E., and T. G. Benton. 2005. "Causes and Consequences of Animal Dispersal Strategies: Relating Individual Behaviour to Spatial Dynamics." *Biological Reviews* 80, no. 2: 205–225. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793104006645) [1017/S1464793104006645.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793104006645)

Brauer, C. J., and L. B. Beheregaray. 2020. "Recent and Rapid Anthropogenic Habitat Fragmentation Increases Extinction Risk for Freshwater Biodiversity." *Evolutionary Applications* 13, no. 10: 2857– 2869. [https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13128.](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13128)

Brunt, T. 2023. "Platypus Populations in a Subtropical, Urban Landscape: An Analysis of Distribution, Habitat, and Connectivity." PhD Thesis, the University of Queensland, Gatton.

Brunt, T., C. Adams-Hosking, and P. Murray. 2018. "Prime Real Estate for the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*): Habitat Requirements in a Peri-Urban Environment." *Queensland Naturalist* 56, no. 4/5/6: 25–39.

Brunt, T., M. Cecil, J. Griffiths, C. Adams-Hosking, and P. Murray. 2021. "Where Are the Platypuses (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) now? A Snapshot in Time of Their Distribution in the Greater Brisbane Region." *Australian Mammalogy* 43, no. 3: 368–372. [https://doi.org/10.1071/](https://doi.org/10.1071/AM20052) [AM20052.](https://doi.org/10.1071/AM20052)

Brunt, T., and A. Smith. 2024. "Brunt_Platypus_Genetics." *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14188756>.

Bryant, A. 1993. *An Evalutaion of the Habitat Characteristics of Pools Used by Platypuses*. Mitchell, Bathurst, Australia: Charles Sturt University.

Burrell, H. J. 1974. *The Platypus*. Adelaide, South Australia: Rigby Limited.

Carrick, F., T. Grant, and P. Temple-Smith. 2008. "Family Ornithorhynchidae Platypus." In *The Mammals of Australia*, edited by S. Van Dyck and R. R. Strahan, 3rd ed., 32–35. Sydney: Reed New Holland.

Cattell, R. B. 1966. "The Scree Test for the Number of Factors." *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 1, no. 2: 245–276.

Catterall, C., R. Lynch, and A. Jansen. 2007. *Riparian Wildlife and Habitats. Principles for Riparian Lands Management*. Canberra, Australia: Land & Water Australia.

Caye, K., B. Jumentier, J. Lepeule, and O. François. 2019. "LFMM 2: Fast and Accurate Inference of Gene-Environment Associations in Genome-Wide Studies." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 36, no. 4: 852–860. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz008>.

Chan, E. 2018. "Handy R Functions for Genetics Research." [https://](https://github.com/ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts) github.com/ekfchan/evachan.org-Rscripts.

Clarke, R. T., P. Rothery, and A. F. Raybould. 2002. "Confidence Limits for Regression Relationships Between Distance Matrices: Estimating Gene Flow With Distance." *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics* 7, no. 3: 361–372. [https://doi.org/10.1198/10857](https://doi.org/10.1198/108571102320) [1102320](https://doi.org/10.1198/108571102320).

Coleman, R. A., Y. E. Chee, N. R. Bond, et al. 2022. "Understanding and Managing the Interactive Impacts of Growth in Urban Land Use and Climate Change on Freshwater Biota: A Case Study Using the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*)." *Global Change Biology* 28, no. 4: 1287– 1300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16015>.

Cresswell, I., T. Janke, and E. Johnston. 2021. *Australia State of the Environment 2021: Overview, Independent Report to the Australian Government Minister for the Environment*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.

Davis, C. D., C. W. Epps, R. L. Flitcroft, and M. A. Banks. 2018. "Refining and Defining Riverscape Genetics: How Rivers Influence Population Genetic Structure." *WIREs Water* 5, no. 2: e1269. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1269) [org/10.1002/wat2.1269.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1269)

de Villemereuil, P., É. Frichot, É. Bazin, O. François, and O. E. Gaggiotti. 2014. "Genome Scan Methods Against More Complex Models: When and How Much Should We Trust Them?" *Molecular Ecology* 23, no. 8: 2006–2019. [https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12705.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12705)

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. 2022. *Species Nominations Not Prioritised for Assessment*. Canberra: Australian Government. [https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodi](https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/nominations/species-not-prioritised-assessment) [versity/threatened/nominations/species-not-prioritised-assessment.](https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/nominations/species-not-prioritised-assessment)

DSITIA. 2014. *Land Use Summary: South East Queensland NRM Region*. Brisbane, Queensland, Australia: Queensland Government.

Dudgeon, D. 2014. "Threats to Freshwater Biodiversity in a Changing World." In *Global Environmental Change. Handbook of Global Environmental Pollution*, edited by B. Freedman, vol. 1. Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5784-4_108.

Dudgeon, D. 2019. "Multiple Threats Imperil Freshwater Biodiversity in the Anthropocene." *Current Biology* 29, no. 19: R960–R967. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.002) [org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.002).

Dudgeon, D., A. H. Arthington, M. O. Gessner, et al. 2006. "Freshwater Biodiversity: Importance, Threats, Status and Conservation Challenges." *Biological Reviews* 81, no. 2: 163–182. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006950) [1017/S1464793105006950.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006950)

Duforet-Frebourg, N., E. Bazin, and M. G. Blum. 2014. "Genome Scans for Detecting Footprints of Local Adaptation Using a Bayesian Factor Model." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 31, no. 9: 2483–2495. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu182) [doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu182.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu182)

Ellem, B. A., A. Bryant, and A. O'Connor. 1998. "Statistical Modelling of Platypus, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, Habitat Preferences Using Generalised Linear Models." *Australian Mammalogy* 20, no. 2: 281–285. [https://doi.org/10.1071/AM98281.](https://doi.org/10.1071/AM98281)

Elshire, R. J., J. C. Glaubitz, Q. Sun, et al. 2011. "A Robust, Simple Genotyping-By-Sequencing (GBS) Approach for High Diversity Species." *PLoS One* 6, no. 5: e19379. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019379) [pone.0019379](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019379).

Enloe, C., W. A. Cox, A. Pandey, S. S. Taylor, S. Woltmann, and R. T. Kimball. 2022. "Genome-Wide Assessment of Population Structure in Florida's Coastal Seaside Sparrows." *Conservation Genetics* 23, no. 2: 285–297. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-021-01411-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-021-01411-9)

European Space Agency. 2021. "SENTINEL-2 Data: ESA's Optical High-Resolution Imagery." [https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/senti](https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/sentinel-data-access) [nel-data-access.](https://sentinel.esa.int/web/sentinel/sentinel-data-access)

Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet. 2005. "Detecting the Number of Clusters of Individuals Using the Software Structure: A Simulation Study." *Molecular Ecology* 14, no. 8: 2611–2620. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x) [1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x)

Faulks, L. K., D. M. Gilligan, and L. B. Beheregaray. 2011. "The Role of Anthropogenic vs. Natural In-Stream Structures in Determining Connectivity and Genetic Diversity in an Endangered Freshwater Fish, Macquarie Perch (*Macquaria australasica*)." *Evolutionary Applications* 4, no. 4: 589–601. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00183.x) [2011.00183.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00183.x)

Fick, S. E., and R. J. Hijmans. 2017. "WorldClim 2: New 1km Spatial Resolution Climate Surfaces for Global Land Areas." *International Journal of Climatology* 37, no. 12: 4302–4315. [https://www.worldclim.](https://www.worldclim.org/data/worldclim21.html) [org/data/worldclim21.html](https://www.worldclim.org/data/worldclim21.html).

Fish, F. E., P. B. Frappell, R. V. Baudinette, and P. M. MacFarlane. 2001. "Energetics of Terrestrial Locomotion of the Platypus *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*." *Journal of Experimental Biology* 204, no. 4: 797–803. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.204.4.797) [doi.org/10.1242/jeb.204.4.797.](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.204.4.797)

Frichot, E., S. D. Schoville, G. Bouchard, and O. Francois. 2013. "Testing for Associations Between Loci and Environmental Gradients Using Latent Factor Mixed Models." *Molecular Biolology and Evolution* 30, no. 7: 1687–1699. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst063.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst063)

Furlan, E. M., J. Griffiths, N. Gust, et al. 2013. "Dispersal Patterns and Population Structuring Among Platypuses, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, Throughout South-Eastern Australia." *Conservation Genetics* 14, no. 4: 837–853. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0478-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0478-7)

Fusco, N. A., E. Pehek, and J. Munshi-South. 2021. "Urbanization Reduces Gene Flow but Not Genetic Diversity of Stream Salamander

Populations in the New York City Metropolitan Area." *Evolutionary Applications* 14, no. 1: 99–116.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13025>.

Gallant, J., and J. Austin. 2012. *Topographic Wetness Index Derived From 1″ SRTM DEM-H. v2*. CSIRO. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Data Collection.

Gallant, J., N. Wilson, P. K. Tickle, T. Dowling, and A. Read. 2009. *3 Second SRTM Derived Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Version 1.0. Record 1.0*. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Geoscience Australia. https://ecat.ga.gov.au/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/ [69888.](https://ecat.ga.gov.au/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search#/metadata/69888)

Gongora, J., A. B. Swan, A. Y. Chong, et al. 2012. "Genetic Structure and Phylogeography of Platypuses Revealed by Mitochondrial DNA." *Journal of Zoology* 286, no. 2: 110–119. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2011.00854.x) [7998.2011.00854.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2011.00854.x).

Grant, T. 2014. "The Platypus and the Environmental Impact Assessment Process: More Cogitations of a Consultant." *Consulting Ecology* 33: 50–63.

Grant, T., M. Griffiths, and P. Temple-Smith. 2004. "Breeding in a Free-Ranging Population of Platypuses, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, in the Upper Shoalhaven River, New South Wales - a 27 Year Study." *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 125: 227–234.

Grant, T. R. 2004. "Captures, Capture Mortality, Age and Sex Ratios of Platypuses, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, During Studies Over 30Years in the Upper Shoalhaven River in New South Wales." *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 125: 217–226.

Grant, T. R. 2012. "Environmental Impact Assessment: Monitoring From a Platypus Perspective." In *Science Under Siege: Zoology Under Threat*, edited by P. Banks, D. Lunney, and C. Dickman. New South Wales, Australia: Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales. [https://](https://doi.org/10.7882/fs.2012.045) doi.org/10.7882/fs.2012.045.

Grant, T. R., and T. J. Dawson. 1978. "Temperature Regulation in the Platypus, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*: Maintenance of Body Temperature in Air and Water." *Physiological Zoology* 51, no. 1: 1–6. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2307/30158659) [10.2307/30158659](https://doi.org/10.2307/30158659).

Grant, T. R., and D. Fanning. 2007. *Platypus*. Victoria, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.

Grant, T. R., and P. D. Temple-Smith. 1998. "Field Biology of the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*): Historical and Current Perspectives." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences* 353, no. 1372: 1081–1091. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1692311/) [PMC1692311/.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1692311/)

Hannah, L. 2022. "Past Freshwater Changes." In *Climate Change Biology*, edited by L. Hannah, 3rd ed., 239–254. London, UK: Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102975-6.00011-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102975-6.00011-X)

Hawke, T., G. Bino, and R. T. Kingsford. 2020. *A National Assessment of the Conservation Status of the Platypus*. Sydney, New South Wales: Australian Conservation Foundation. [https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloud](https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/auscon/pages/18230/attachments/original/1624235948/Platypus_Assessment_report_v8.pdf?1624235948) [front.net/auscon/pages/ 18230/ attachments/original/1624235948/ Platy](https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/auscon/pages/18230/attachments/original/1624235948/Platypus_Assessment_report_v8.pdf?1624235948) [pus_Assessment_report_v8.pdf?1624235948.](https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/auscon/pages/18230/attachments/original/1624235948/Platypus_Assessment_report_v8.pdf?1624235948)

Hawke, T., G. Bino, and R. T. Kingsford. 2021. "Damming Insights: Variable Impacts and Implications of River Regulation on Platypus Populations." *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 31, no. 3: 504–519. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3523>.

Hawke, T., G. Bino, R. T. Kingsford, D. Iervasi, K. Iervasi, and M. D. Taylor. 2021. "Long-Term Movements and Activity Patterns of Platypus on Regulated Rivers." *Scientific Reports* 11, no. 1: 3590. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81142-6) [10.1038/s41598-021-81142-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81142-6)

He, F., C. Zarfl, V. Bremerich, et al. 2019. "The Global Decline of Freshwater Megafauna." *Global Change Biology* 25, no. 11: 3883–3892. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14753>.

Hromada, S. J., T. C. Esque, A. G. Vandergast, et al. 2020. "Using Movement to Inform Conservation Corridor Design for Mojave Desert Tortoise." *Movement Ecology* 8, no. 1: 38. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s4046](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-020-00224-8) [2-020-00224-8.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-020-00224-8)

Jombart, T. 2008. "Adegenet: A R Package for the Multivariate Analysis of Genetic Markers." *Bioinformatics* 24, no. 11: 1403–1405. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129).

Jombart, T., S. Devillard, A. B. Dufour, and D. Pontier. 2008. "Revealing Cryptic Spatial Patterns in Genetic Variability by a New Multivariate Method." *Heredity* 101, no. 1: 92–103. [https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2008.34.](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2008.34)

Keller, D., M. J. Van Strien, and R. Holderegger. 2012. "Do Landscape Barriers Affect Functional Connectivity of Populations of an Endangered Damselfly?" *Freshwater Biology* 57, no. 7: 1373–1384. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2012.02797.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2012.02797.x)

Kierepka, E. M., and E. K. Latch. 2016. "Fine-Scale Landscape Genetics of the American Badger (*Taxidea taxus*): Disentangling Landscape Effects and Sampling Artifacts in a Poorly Understood Species." *Heredity* 116, no. 1: 33–43. [https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.67.](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.67)

Kilian, A., P. Wenzl, E. Huttner, et al. 2012. "Diversity Arrays Technology: A Generic Genome Profiling Technology on Open Platforms." In *Data Production and Analysis in Population Genomics: Methods and Protocols*, edited by F. Pompanon and A. Bonin, 67–89. New York: Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-870-2_5.

Kimmig, S. E., J. Beninde, M. Brandt, et al. 2020. "Beyond the Landscape: Resistance Modelling Infers Physical and Behavioural Gene Flow Barriers to a Mobile Carnivore Across a Metropolitan Area." *Molecular Ecology* 29, no. 3: 466–484. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15345>.

Klamt, M., R. Thompson, and J. Davis. 2011. "Early Response of the Platypus to Climate Warming." *Global Change Biology* 17, no. 10: 3011– 3018.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02472.x>.

Kolomyjec, S. H. 2010. "The History and Relationships of Northern Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) Populations: A Molecular Approach." Doctural Dissertation, James Cook University. Townsville, Australia.

Kolomyjec, S. H., J. Y. T. Chong, D. Blair, et al. 2009. "Population Genetics of the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*): A Fine-Scale Look at Adjacent River Systems." *Australian Journal of Zoology* 57, no. 4: 225–234. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO09045>.

Kozakiewicz, C. P., C. P. Burridge, W. C. Funk, et al. 2019. "Urbanization Reduces Genetic Connectivity in Bobcats (*Lynx rufus*) at Both Intra– And Interpopulation Spatial Scales." *Molecular Ecology* 28, no. 23: 5068–5085. [https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15274.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15274)

Latorre-Cardenas, M. C., C. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, Y. Rico, and E. Martínez-Meyer. 2020. "Do Landscape and Riverscape Shape Genetic Patterns of the Neotropical Otter, *Lontra longicaudis*, in Eastern Mexico?" *Landscape Ecology* 36, no. 1: 69–87. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-020-01114-5) [s10980-020-01114-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-020-01114-5)

Legendre, P., and L. Legendre. 1998. *Numerical Ecology, Developments in Environmental Modelling*. Vol. 20. Oxford, UK: Elsevier. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8892(98)80052-3) [org/10.1016/S0167-8892\(98\)80052-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8892(98)80052-3)

Luu, K., E. Bazin, and M. G. B. Blum. 2016. "PCAdapt: An R Package to Perform Genome Scans for Selection Based on Principal Component Analysis." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17: 67–77. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12592) [1111/1755-0998.12592](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12592).

Maclagan, S. J., T. Coates, B. A. Hradsky, R. Butryn, and E. G. Ritchie. 2020. "Life in Linear Habitats: The Movement Ecology of an Endangered Mammal in a Peri-Urban Landscape." *Animal Conservation* 23, no. 3: 260–272.<https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12533>.

Maier, P. A., A. G. Vandergast, S. M. Ostoja, A. Aguilar, and A. J. Bohonak. 2022. "Landscape Genetics of a Sub-Alpine Toad: Climate Change Predicted to Induce Upward Range Shifts via Asymmetrical Migration Corridors." *Heredity* 129: 257–272. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-022-00561-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-022-00561-x)

Martin, E. H., C. J. Walsh, M. Serena, and J. A. Webb. 2014. "Urban Stormwater Runoff Limits Distribution of Platypus." *Austral Ecology* 39: 337–345.

Martinez, A. I. I., and S. M. Labib. 2023. "Demystifying Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) for Greenness Exposure Assessments and Policy Interventions in Urban Greening." *Environmental Research* 220: 115155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.115155) [2022.115155.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.115155)

Martinez, A. S., J. R. Willoughby, and M. R. Christie. 2018. "Genetic Diversity in Fishes Is Influenced by Habitat Type and Life-History Variation." *Ecology and Evolution* 8, no. 23: 12022–12031. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4661) [org/10.1002/ece3.4661](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4661).

McGranahan, G., and D. Satterthwaite. 2014. *Urbanisation Concepts and Trends*. London: IIED Working Paper. IIED. [http://pubs.iied.org/](http://pubs.iied.org/10709IIED) [10709IIED.](http://pubs.iied.org/10709IIED)

McRae, B. H., and P. Beier. 2007. "Circuit Theory Predicts Gene Flow in Plant and Animal Populations." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104, no. 50: 19885–19890. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.07065](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706568104) [68104.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706568104)

Mijangos, J., G. Bino, T. Hawke, et al. 2022. "Fragmentation by Major Dams and Implications for the Future Viability of Platypus Populations." *Communications Biology* 5: 1127. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04038-9) [s42003-022-04038-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04038-9).

Musser, A., T. Grant, and E. Turak. 2024. "Movements of Platypuses Around and Through Instream Structures and Natural Barriers in the Jenolan Karst Conservation Reserve, New South Wales." *Australian Mammalogy* 46: AM23031. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AM23031>.

Nakagawa, S., and H. Schielzeth. 2013. "A General and Simple Method for Obtaining R2 From Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Models." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4, no. 2: 133–142. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x) [1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x).

Newport, J., D. J. Shorthouse, and A. D. Manning. 2014. "The Effects of Light and Noise From Urban Development on Biodiversity: Implications for Protected Areas in Australia." *Ecological Management & Restoration* 15, no. 3: 204–214. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12120>.

Nonić, M., and M. Šijačić-Nikolić. 2019. "Genetic Diversity: Sources, Threats, and Conservation." In *Life on Land*, edited by W. Leal Filho, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, P. G. Özuyar, and T. Wall, 1–15. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71065-5_53-1) [71065-5_53-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71065-5_53-1).

Oksanen, J., G. Simpson, F. Blanchet, et al. 2022. "Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package Version 2.6–2 (Version 2.6–2)." [https://](https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan) [CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.](https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan)

Pacifici, M., L. Santini, M. Di Marco, et al. 2013. "Generation Length for Mammals." *Nature Conservation* 5: 89–94. [https://doi.org/10.3897/](https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.5.5734) [natureconservation.5.5734](https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.5.5734).

Perkin, E. K., F. Hölker, J. S. Richardson, J. P. Sadler, C. Wolter, and K. Tockner. 2011. "The Influence of Artificial Light on Stream and Riparian Ecosystems: Questions, Challenges, and Perspectives." *Ecosphere* 2, no. 11: art122. [https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00241.1.](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00241.1)

Pettorelli, N. 2013. *The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. [https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199693160.001.0001) [9780199693160.001.0001.](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199693160.001.0001)

Potash, A. D., L. M. Conner, and R. A. McCleery. 2019. "Vertical and Horizontal Vegetation Cover Synergistically Shape Prey Behaviour." *Animal Behaviour* 152: 39–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.04.007) [04.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.04.007)

Pritchard, J. K., M. Stephens, and P. Donnelly. 2000. "Inference of Population Structure Using Multilocus Genotype Data." *Genetics* 155, no. 2: 945–959.<https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/155.2.945>.

Pröhl, H., J. Auffarth, T. Bergmann, H. Buschmann, and N. Balkenhol. 2021. "Conservation Genetics of the Yellow-Bellied Toad (*Bombina variegata*): Population Structure, Genetic Diversity and Landscape Effects in an Endangered Amphibian." *Conservation Genetics* 22, no. 3: 513– 529.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-021-01350-5>.

Queensland Government. 2020. "Climate Change Science Resources." [https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/climate/climate-change/resou](https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/climate/climate-change/resources/science#reg-im-sum) [rces/science#reg-im-sum.](https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/climate/climate-change/resources/science#reg-im-sum)

Raj, A., M. Stephens, and J. K. Pritchard. 2014. "fastSTRUCTURE: Variational Inference of Population Structure in Large SNP Data Sets." *Genetics* 197, no. 2: 573–589. [https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.164350.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.164350)

R Core Team. 2022. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [https://www.R-project.org/](https://www.r-project.org/).

Reid, A. J., A. K. Carlson, I. F. Creed, et al. 2019. "Emerging Threats and Persistent Conservation Challenges for Freshwater Biodiversity." *Biological Reviews* 94, no. 3: 849–873. [https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480) [12480](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12480).

Reid, A. J., A. K. Carlson, D. E. L. Hanna, J. D. Olden, S. J. Ormerod, and S. J. Cooke. 2020. "Conservation Challenges to Freshwater Ecosystems." In *Encyclopedia of the World's Biomes*, 270–278. Oxford, UK: Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-409548-9.11937-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-409548-9.11937-2)

Reynes, L., T. Thibaut, S. Mauger, et al. 2021. "Genomic Signatures of Clonality in the Deep Water Kelp Laminaria Rodriguezii." *Molecular Ecology* 30, no. 8: 1806–1822.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15860>.

Robinson, K. W. 1954. "Heat Tolerances of Australian Monotremes and Marsupials." *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences* 7, no. 3: 348–360. <https://doi.org/10.1071/BI9540348>.

Rohweder, D., and P. Baverstock. 1999. "Distribution of the Platypus *Ornithorhynchus anatinus* in the Richmond River Catchment, Northern New South Wales." *Australian Zoologist* 31, no. 1: 30–37.

Serena, M., J. Griffiths, and G. A. Williams. 2015. "Platypus Fyke-Netting Guidelines."

Serena, M., and V. Pettigrove. 2005. "Relationship of Sediment Toxicants and Water Quality to the Distribution of Platypus Population in Urban Streams." *Freshwater Science* 24: 679–689.

Serena, M. 1994. "Use of Time and Space by Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*: Monotremata) Along a Victorian Stream." *Journal of Zoology* 232, no. 1: 117–131. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1994.tb01563.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1994.tb01563.x)

Serena, M., G. Snowball, J. L. Thomas, G. A. Williams, and A. Danger. 2024. "Platypus Longevity: A New Record in the Wild and Information on Captive Life Span." *Australian Mammalogy* 46, no. 2: AM23048. [https://doi.org/10.1071/AM23048.](https://doi.org/10.1071/AM23048)

Serena, M., J. L. Thomas, G. A. Williams, and R. C. E. Officer. 1998. "Use of Stream and River Habitats by the Platypus, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, in an Urban Fringe Environment." *Australian Journal of Zoology* 46, no. 3: 267–282. [https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO98034.](https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO98034)

Serena, M., and G. A. Williams. 2013. "Movements and Cumulative Range Size of the Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) Inferred From Mark–Recapture Studies." *Australian Journal of Zoology* 60, no. 5: 352– 359. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO12121>.

Serena, M., G. A. Williams, A. R. Weeks, and J. Griffiths. 2014. "Variation in Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) Life-History Attributes and Population Trajectories in Urban Streams." *Australian Journal of Zoology* 62, no. 3: 223–234. [https://doi.org/10.1071/](https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO13079) [ZO13079.](https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO13079)

Serena, M., M. Worley, M. Swinnerton, and G. A. Williams. 2001. "Effect of Food Availability and Habitat on the Distribution of Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) Foraging Activity." *Australian Journal of Zoology* 49, no. 3: 263–277. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO00089>.

Shirk, A. J., E. L. Landguth, and S. A. Cushman. 2017. "A Comparison of Individual-Based Genetic Distance Metrics for Landscape Genetics." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17: 1308–1317. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12684) [1755-0998.12684.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12684)

Shirk, A. J., E. L. Landguth, and S. A. Cushman. 2018. "A Comparison of Regression Methods for Model Selection in Individual-Based Landscape

Genetic Analysis." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 18, no. 1: 55–67. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12709) doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12709 .

Simpson, R., and P. Jackson. 1996. *The Mary River Cod Research and Recovery Plan*. Queensland: Queensland Department of Primary Industries – Fisheries Group. [http://www.environment.gov.au/resou](http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/mary-river-cod-research-and-recovery-plan) rce/mary-river [-cod-research-and-recovery-plan](http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/mary-river-cod-research-and-recovery-plan) .

Smith, A. L., T. R. Hodkinson, J. Villellas, et al. 2020. "Global Gene Flow Releases Invasive Plants From Environmental Constraints on Genetic Diversity." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117, no. 8: 4218–4227. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915848117) [1073/pnas.1915848117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915848117) .

Stillfried, M., P. Gras, K. Börner, et al. 2017. "Secrets of Success in a Landscape of Fear: Urban Wild Boar Adjust Risk Perception and Tolerate Disturbance." *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 5: 157. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2017.00157) doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2017.00157 .

Sunny, A., M. López-Sánchez, F. Ramírez-Corona, M. Suárez-Atilano, and A. González-Fernández. 2022. "Genetic Diversity and Functional Connectivity of a Critically Endangered Salamander." *Biotropica* 54, no. 1: 42–56.<https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.13025> .

Temple-Smith. 1973. "Seasonal Breeding in the Platypus, With Special Reference to the Male." PhD Thesis, Australian National University, Canberra.

Thatte, P., A. Chandramouli, A. Tyagi, et al. 2020. "Human Footprint Differentially Impacts Genetic Connectivity of Four Wide-Ranging Mammals in a Fragmented Landscape." *Diversity and Distributions* 26, no. 3: 299–314. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26911438> .

Tickner, D., J. J. Opperman, R. Abell, et al. 2020. "Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan." *Bioscience* 70, no. 4: 330–342. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002> .

Wang, J. 2022. "Fast and Accurate Population Admixture Inference From Genotype Data From a Few Microsatellites to Millions of SNPs." *Heredity* 129, no. 2: 79–92. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-022-00535](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-022-00535-z) - z .

Washburn, B. A., M. F. Cashner, and R. E. Blanton. 2020. "Small Fish, Large River: Surprisingly Minimal Genetic Structure in a Dispersal-Limited, Habitat Specialist Fish." *Ecology and Evolution* 10, no. 4: 2253– 2268. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6064> .

Wollstein, A., and O. Lao. 2015. "Detecting Individual Ancestry in the Human Genome." *Investigative Genetics* 6, no. 1: 7. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13323-015-0019-x) [1186/s13323-015-0019-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13323-015-0019-x) x .

Woon, P. M. N. 1995. "Habitat Preferences of Platypus (*Ornithorhynchus antinus* Shaw 1799) in the Hastings River Catchment, N.S.W." Masters Dissertation, University of Sydney, Sydney eScholarship. [http://hdl.](http://hdl.handle.net/2123/1143) [handle.net/2123/1143](http://hdl.handle.net/2123/1143) .

Zaitunah, A., Samsuri, and F. Sahara. 2021. "Mapping and Assessment of Vegetation Cover Change and Species Variation in Medan, North Sumatra." *Heliyon* B597, no. 7: e07637. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07637) [2021.e07637](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07637) .

Zhang, C., L. Wen, Y. Wang, C. Liu, Y. Zhou, and G. Lei. 2020. "Can Constructed Wetlands Be Wildlife Refuges? A Review of Their Potential Biodiversity Conservation Value." *Sustainability* 12, no. 4: 1442. [https://](https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/4/1442) www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/4/1442 .

Zhou, Y., L. Shearwin-Whyatt, J. Li, et al. 2021. "Platypus and Echidna Genomes Reveal Mammalian Biology and Evolution." *Nature* 592, no. 7856: 756–762.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section.